British Nationalist
FOR RACE AND NATION
JANUARY 1990
25p

Hong Kong Chinese NO ROOM HERE!

JUST OVER ten years ago, Mrs. Thatcher won a resounding victory in a general election having given a pledge to impose strict controls on further immigration into Britain. In the ten years that have followed, this pledge has been broken again and again and again, with hundreds of thousands more people, mainly from Third World countries, pouring into these islands. Now, as just one more demonstration of the Government's perfidy over this issue, the way is being cleared for a quarter of a million Hong Kong Chinese to be granted right of entry. This represents such an appalling betrayal of election promises that a large number of Tory backbenchers in the House of Commons are up in arms against it, including Mrs. Thatcher's one-time faithful lapdog Norman Tebbit.

The Government, in the face of this rebellion, has come out with the utterly feeble excuse that it is necessary to give the Hong Chinese a guarantee that they could come to Britain as a last resort, otherwise they would be rushing to leave the colony now — in anticipation of conditions that will prevail when Red China takes over in 1997. If such a guarantee is not given and these people hasten to leave Hong Kong, the Government claims, the colony will descend into chaos — because the people in question comprise its *elite* in brainpower and qualifications: its leading professionals, business people, etc.

To this there is a simple answer: to the vast majority of the people of Britain, what happens to Hong Kong when the Red Chinese take over is a matter of supreme indifference. The Hong Kong Chinese are not our responsibility. We owe them nothing. Britain has no obligation to them whatever. Our only obligation is to our own people, the people of the United Kingdom, who are going to suffer if yet more hordes of immigrants are landed upon them, with all the strains this will create in the way of housing shortages, impositions on social services and demands on our already slender resources of education.

HONG KONG
Home of some 5-6 million Chinese. If the logic of Government thinking about 'obligations' is accepted, all of them could end up here!

To say nothing of the further communal strife that results in trying to bring together incompatible races within the same area.

But none of this seems to weigh on the minds of Mrs. Thatcher and her Government.

Instead, they piously lecture to us about our 'international obligations', about the unhappy fate that may confront the Hong Kong Chinese in 1997 and thereafter, etc., etc., etc.

And if we are considered to have 'obligations' to the quarter-million Hong Kong Chinese in the centre of the current argument, what about the rest? The same claim about 'obligations' could be applied to all the five-six million living in the colony. In principle, there is just no limit to the portion of these that Britain could be required to take once the argument about 'obligations' is accepted.

SAME OLD STORY

Of course, we have heard it all before.

We heard it when they decided to dump the Uganda Asians on us in the mid-nineteen seventies. Then a Tory minister, Duncan Sandys, had granted these people British passports as a guarantee in case of what might happen to them if an 'Africanisation' scheme was pushed forward in Uganda, making it impossible for the Asians to stay there. Then it was the same old story about our 'obligations' to those people. As is the case now, the Government's obligations to the people of Great Britain were not given a moment's consideration.

And of course we have been hearing the same wail in justification of successive governments' admission of God knows how many millions of other Asians and West Indians over the years. We have an 'obligation' towards them — but never any comparable obligation towards our own British people!

By use of these excuses, governments have allowed Britain to be floooded with migrants over the past three or four decades — with the result that the number now here, plus their children and grandchildren, must come to at least **5 million**.

And all in a country without abundant open spaces, which is one of the most heavily populated in the world and which is severely stretched to support its own indigenous people on its own resources.

CHINESE IN HONG KONG AGITATE FOR ENTRY INTO BRITAIN

SEND THEM BACK!

For all these reasons, we concur with one Tory MP, Mr. Tim Janman, who has said: "We don't want them in — we don't want a single one of them in."

But we go further than that. We say that, not only must these Hong Kong Chinese not be allowed to settle in this country, the other 5 million-plus non-white immigrants who have been allowed to settle here in the past, and their descendants, should be required to leave this country as part of a planned and phased programme of repatriation and resettlement whereby they be relocated in the various Third World countries from which they and their ancestors have come.

Or, where this is not possible, settled in other Third World countries where they can harmoniously integrate with the population in the way they cannot do here.

Britain is currently dispensing

Contd. on page 7

The 'rebels' and their record

WE should not be fooled by the fact that a number of backbench Tory MPs are currently in protest against the Government's granting of right of entry to a quarter of a million Hong Kong Chinese.

Most of the so-called 'rebels' have been in parliament for a good many years and have little real rebellion to show for it.



TEBBIT
Late in the day with his protest

Many years in which Third World immigrants have continued to flood into this country — with no great parliamentary storm raised in protest against the influx.

The man regarded as the leader of the present 'rebel' group is Mr. Norman Tebbit, former Chairman of the Conservative Party. In the days when Mr. Tebbit's clout in the party was much greater than now, he showed no sign whatever of any firm opposition to the droves of immigrants coming in. The only time he ever spoke about matters connected with immigration and race was to make loud public denunciations of those people in Britain, like us, were objecting to the overrunning of our country, calling us 'racists' and applying numerous other abusive epithets to us. Mr. Tebbit was indeed frequently to be heard condemning 'racists' and 'racism', but never condemning the ridiculous policies whereby our country was allowed to be a dumping ground for all the world's unwanted coloured populations.

And the same goes for other Tory MPs who are now joining in the chorus of protest against the decision to give the Hong Kong Chinese right of entry.

So why now all the huff and puff from these people?

In a report in The Daily Telegraph (15th December) a clue was given. The report spoke of Tories in the House "who argued that there would be considerable opposition in their constituencies to any large-scale immigration of Hong Kong Chinese."

The report went on to say that "others, particularly in marginal constituencies, said their seats would be at risk. One said it would 'lift the lid on the whole immigration cauldron again' with major electoral consequences for the Tories who had come to power pledging to halt further large-scale immigration."

And that just about sums it up. As long as the nation's future is being betrayed Tory MPs can sit passively in parliament not rocking the party boat. But as soon as there is a sign that a policy is electorally unpopular and some of them may find their seats in danger they begin to get really worried and find the courage to protest!

British
Nationalist
No. 97 JANUARY 1990
Editor: John Morse

PARLIAMENT VOTES FOR LYNCH LAW

BY A VOTE of 343 to 123 in the Commons, Britain's MPs last month voted to launch a 'war crimes' witch hunt against elderly Eastern Europeans living in Britain as refugees from Soviet tyranny. The only evidence against these men, who have led exemplary lives as British citizens, is believed to come from Soviet sources.

So far as is known, it relates only to the alleged sufferings and deaths of Jews during the course of the Russo-German war which lasted from 1941 to 1945, at which time those now being accused had served the German war effort in what they saw as their best chance of liberating their Baltic and Ukrainian homelands from communist occupation. Since then the Soviet Government has been seeking its revenge against them.

It now seems that our own Government is preparing in effect to carry out that revenge in Britain's courts of law, despite the fact that none of the men in question were in any way subject to British legal jurisdiction at the time of the alleged acts. This runs contrary to all canons of British justice.

It is known that the Government and MPs have long been under pressure from Zionist sources to stage these 'trials'.

Legislation will have to be introduced into parliament to legalise the new 'war crimes' proceedings. It is thought that, in addition to allowing the retrospective prosecution for acts that were not crimes at the time they were allegedly committed, this may also include changes in the rules of evidence, which apply in normal trials so as to prevent the conviction of any defendant without proper proof of guilt, in order to permit the introduction of Soviet documents and other evidence.

Much of the latter is said to come from KGB sources, and it may well be that any trials that take place will dispense with the usual requirement in criminal cases that the authors of the evidence present themselves in court for cross-examination.

Leading off the debate in the Commons, Tory MP Sir Bernard Braine called for nazi war criminals to be "pursued to the utmost ends of the earth." But he did not see fit to explain why, out of all the nationalities and all the millions of people who suffered atrocities in World War II, it is only in this one case that Britain's machinery of justice should be set into motion.

The Home Office has made it clear that war crimes trials are not to be introduced for any other categories of people. Therefore, no other post-war immigrant communities, whether of Eastern European or any other background, are to be combed, for example, to discover persons who may have been involved in the well-authenticated trail of rape, torture and mass murder which accompanied the Soviet conquest of the Baltic Regions, East Germany and Hungary in 1945.

Nor, apparently, are there any plans to bring to justice those Jewish criminals who committed atrocities against Arab civilians and British soldiers and police during our Palestine mandate after World War II, such as Messrs. Begin and Shamir.

In short, the whole business stinks.

GRIM OUTLOOK FOR THE NINETIES

(1) The Economy

BRITAIN HEADING FOR TRADE SLUMP

BRITAIN enters the 1990s with the outlook one of almost unrelieved gloom in every sector of the nation's life, and nowhere is this more clearly so than in the economy.

In our front-page feature last month we highlighted the depressing economic prospects for the nation as evidenced by the words of none other than the Chancellor of the Exchequer himself, Mr. John Major, when he acknowleged that interest rates would remain ridiculously high, that the nation would face a new series of wage demands in the near future and that the number of unemployed could shoot up a further 150,000 in 1990 alone.

This gloomy picture has since been confirmed in a report compiled by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, a Paris-based economic think-tank, which forecasts that Britain is on the way to a disastrous trade slump if the Government again raises the cost of borrowing — as it is unlikely to be able to resist doing under present policies.



BRITISH SHIPYARD STANDING IDLE
The result of buying vessels from abroad

According to the OECD, Britain's trade deficit in the coming years is likely to remain larger than that of any other major trading nation other than the United States.

The OECD also believes that Britain's economic growth will be the lowest among the main industrial nations at a mere 1.3 percent in 1990, and it joins voices with our own Chancellor in predicting a rise in unemployment.

None of this should give any cause for surprise, as the economic policies adopted by the Thatcher Government during the 1980s have destroyed huge slices of Britain's manufacturing capacity and made us today what we have never been before: a net importer of manufactured goods.

The only way in which this trend towards de-industrialisation could be reduced would be for Britain to adopt a policy of protection of her manufacturing industries, putting up trade barriers to keep out imports while building up industries at home to cater for all our needs in manufactured items.

This way, the nationalist way, most certainly will never be adopted by the present Tory Government, or by any future Labour Government, because both are totally subordinate to international finance, which wants the maximisation of international trade in order to maximise its own profits. Only a nationalist government determined to break free of the shackles of international finance can restore British industry to vigour and guarantee employment to every British worker.

(2) Home ownership

HOUSE PRICES LIKELY TO GO THROUGH THE ROOF

THE TORIES, when they came to power in 1979, confidently boasted that they were going to make Britain a nation of home-owners, a 'property-owning democracy.'

A decade later the reality is that the outlook is now bleaker than ever before for young families setting out to own their own homes. This is the news of a recent report published by Britain's third largest building society, the Woolwich, which says that property prices are going to increase between three- and fourfold by the end of the century.

According to this report, the average price of a house now worth £58,000 will skyrocket to £200,000 by the year 2000, this being based on the expectation of an average rise of 11.9 per-cent in the next ten years.

This may be good news for those who have already purchased their homes, but it amounts to tidings of disaster for those who in the next decade will be setting out to buy homes for the first time. Such a development promises to put home-ownership totally out of reach for all except the most affluent.



EVERY FAMILY'S DREAM
In the 1990s it looks like houses for first-time buyers will only be an option for the very affluent

Then add to this the appalling cost of mortgages and you have a situation in which, every seven or eight years, first-time buyers are going to be paying the full cost of their homes all over again in interest charges.

As it is, with house prices trebling during the 1980s, things have been bad enough for the first-time buyer, for incomes have not risen during those years to anything like the same extent. All the indications now are that this ludicrous spiral is now going to continue in the decade following.

Only revolutionary measures for the overhaul of our economic system are going to curb this tendency and bring home prices within the reach of every young family. These must include: (1) Radical changes in our financial system which will take away from the banks the power to create new money as an interest-bearing debt; (2) As a result of the foregoing, the elimination of inflation — which, among other things, feeds the property-speculator and adds to the total of land not being used but only kept 'on ice' for future sale; (3) The regeneration of the cities by policies aiming at communal homogeneity and restoring law and order, thus reducing the numbers of those seeking to move out into country areas.

FAULTY ECONOMIC SYSTEM

There is absolutely no basic economic reason for house prices to rocket as they have done. The population has not increased over the past ten years to any significant extent; therefore the demand for homes should not have increased significantly — certainly not to the absurd proportions of the increase in home prices.

The rise in these prices must therefore be put down to a faulty economic system, of which a faulty financial system — creating built-in inflation — is the most serious symptom.

Certainly neither the present Government nor HM Opposition have any policies to change this system fundamentally over the coming decade. The predictions made by the Woolwich therefore would seem to be depressingly true. What a prospect for the young couple in Britain wanting to acquire a home and raise children!

(3) Education

WE'RE SINKING TO THIRD WORLD LEVELS

EDUCATIONAL STANDARDS in Britain hit a new low in the 1980s, with the nation shortly about to descend to Third World levels. These are the findings of a recent survey carried out by the National Institute for Economic and Social Research.

As just one symptom of this decline, it has been found that 10-year-olds in this country are on average no more accomplished than 10-year-olds in Papua New Guinea!

The same survey has found that fewer over-16s stay on in full-time education or training in Britain than in any leading competitor country. Also that 40 per-cent of people working in Britain are entirely unqualified — without even a single GCSE pass or any form of vocational qualification. The figure for West Germany, on the other hand, is a mere 10 per-cent.

There is no doubt that these low standards are attributable in large part to the prevalence of 'trendy' and 'permissive' educational attitudes in times of past Labour Governments and also in those areas where today education is under the direction of local authorities that are Labour-controlled.

But the present Tory Government cannot escape its share of the blame. It has, after all, been in office now for nearly 11 years. During that time the measures it has taken to raise educational standards have been totally inadequate, and as a result those standards have fallen still lower during the time in question.

The outcome of all this is that we are heading for a situation in the 21st century, not now so far away, in which Britain is going to be a nation of ignoramuses and cretins.

The Government has, very late in the day, shown some recognition of how bad the situation is by the adoption of a national school curriculum.

But ths, though it may bring marginal improvement, is likely to be nowhere near sufficient to remedy the appalling deficiencies in British education in time for us to catch up with our international rivals.

Such a curriculum will not be properly enforced unless the Government imposes its authority firmly on all local education authorities, including the most leftist-oriented. This it is unlikely to do.

The problem also calls for a thorough overhaul of teacher-training colleges, with 'liberal' influences that have destroyed school discipline well and truly eradicated.

Finally, we must not ignore the contribution made to low educational standards by the fact that today Britain's schools contain a large complement of pupils of low natural intelligence level — a genetic phenomenon rather than one due to bad teaching. The measures needed to produce a more intelligent population, with greater natural educational potential, are measures which no Tory Government — and least of all a Labour Government — would ever be prepared to consider.

Therefore, unless there is a full-scale revolution in our academic world, the product of a revolution in national politics, there seems little prospect that these disgraceful deficiencies are going to be remedied in the decade just beginning.

BRITAIN'S SCHOOL PUPILS
Their standards are now the lowest in the developed world

How the politicians bring the British people into contempt

TOADYING TO THE ETHNIC MINORITIES IS ONLY TAKEN BY THEM AS A SIGN OF WEAKNESS

The hare may perhaps deceive the fox, but human beings cannot *deceive each other. The coloured man sees through the white man when he talks about 'humanity' and everlasting peace. He scents the other's unfitness and lack of will to defend himself.*

Oswald Spengler

IF the British people are now finding themselves objects of complete contempt in the eyes of the millions of Afro-Asian immigrants that have swamped this land, they do not have to look far for the reasons.

The specimen depicted in the picture accompanying this article (meaning the White, not the black immigrant he is holding his cosy little *tete-a-tete* with) is none less than Tory Home Office Minister John Patten. Patten is one of the sickliest of the many sickly minority-loving liberals to be promoted up the Government's career-ladder under Mrs. Thatcher's regime of the last decade.

Here he is shown anxiously soliciting the opinions about life in Britain of West Indian Mark Allen. Allen graciously gave him an audience at his council flat in Stockwell, S.W. London.

Shortly after this, Mr. Patten's trusted adviser was in court, charged with bringing about the deaths of a middle-aged couple, Mr. Victor Johnson and his wife Audrey, by paying two local youths to burn them out of their neighbouring flat.

The reason?

According to the prosecution, the Johnsons, along with other neighbours in this run-down 'racially sensitive' inner-city district bordering Brixton, had reported Allen to Lambeth Council for playing loud music throughout the night. He wished to get rid of them, in the words of prosecuting counsel, "so that he could play his music whenever he liked."

DEATH THROUGH SUFFOCATION

The youths who were allegedly doing Allen's 'dirty work' poured petrol through the Johnsons' letterbox and set light to it. The couple died of suffocation from fumes before the fire brigade could rescue them.

One reason the Johnsons were unable to escape from their blazing flat was that they had thought it necessary to fortify it with grills and other security devices to protect themselves from burglars and violent intruders.

It is not known whether during his visit to Stockwell Mr. Patten also had a get-together with the victims of this ongoing mayhem, so as to hear their views about the level of noise and criminality associated with their having to live alongside the likes of Allen and some of his friends. If so, he certainly did not go out of his way to have himself photographed in their company by the press.

BRAINWASHING THE YOUNG

If Mark Allen and his ilk have got the idea that Britain and the British people are just there to be put upon, John Patten's brother has also done his bit to give them this message. Back in 1986, as a junior minister at the Department of Education, Chris Patten was hot and strong, at a conference in Derbyshire, for introducing what he called 'multi-ethnic content' into the curriculum of all of Britain's schools — including those far from the inner cities where there was scarcely a black face then to be found.

Quoting his boss Sir Keith Joseph, Maggie's one-time guru to whose tender mercies she had at that time entrusted the upbringing of Britain's young, Chris, upholding the Patten family trait, described how the Government was 'initiating action' even then to make sure that all our children should be prepared from their tenderest years, as a high priority, to be merged into the 'culturally diverse', 'multi-ethnic' Britain of his and his political masters' dreams.

There can be no doubt that Britain's Afro-Asian immigrants can quite clearly see how little store this country's rulers (including those who call themselves 'Conservatives') set by preserving the racial character that once made our people great. The Patten brothers could hardly have gone further in making a public exhibition before 'ethnic' eyes of how all their desire is to see the 'Britons' of the future become raceless mongrels without pride, purpose or identity.

One thing can be said of all this: the Pattens of contemporary Britain, by their combination of weakness and outright betrayal, are making a hideous future for our nation. For doing much less than they, others down through our history have been indicted for treason and given the fate that traitors deserve.

ALL PALSY-WALSY
Patten, seen here chatting to Allen in his flat

The US 'Black Power' lobby

A GLIMPSE AT ITS ATTITUDES AND OBJECTIVES

U.S. NEGRO 'Black Power' agitator Louis Farrakhan, leader of the Black Muslim 'Nation of Islam' organisation, has been giving the American public a glimpse of the intentions of the country's militant black lobby and its supporters.

At a conference of Negro leaders from across the States, held recently in New Orleans, Farrakhan demanded that 'reparations' be paid to Blacks by the US taxpayer for what he called the "suffering their ancestors endured during slavery." The conference endorsed the idea.

The demand has also been echoed by Governor Michael Dukakis of Massachusetts, the defeated candidate in the last presidential race. Dukakis is noted for being fanatically pro-black and pro-homosexual, as well as a supporter of Israel's international aggression.

However, neither Farrakhan nor Dukakis, in their concern for black minority interests, has seen fit to acknowledge some of the historical facts about negro slavery in the United States. Slaves brought to the Americas in former centuries to work the southern plantations had for the most part already been slaves back in their native Africa, where they faced torture, starvation, cannibalism and even human sacrifice.



***FARRAKHAN** Wants 'compensation' for slave trade!*

Once in the United States, they came to enjoy a living standard unequalled by Blacks anywhere else in the world in their time. And their descendants today also enjoy a living standard far higher, on average, than that of their blood kindred in 'independent' Black Africa. The welfare system funded by America's taxpayers has already financed a vast population explosion among American Negroes, and is likely to go on doing so.

"WE WILL OUTBREED YOU!"

In fact, Farrakhan is now taking the line that American Negroes will even be able to outbreed the white population to the point where it will be possible for them to seize power in the United States. Said Farrakhan: "With our increased birthrate, the black man can actually breed ourselves *(sic)* into power. If things continue, just birthwise, we could control the Congress. We would control the Supreme Court. We would control state legislatures, then 'Run, Jesse, run,' or 'Run, Jesse Jnr., run.'" The last was a reference to black presidential contender Jesse Jackson. Farrakhan exulted over "plummeting white birthrates."

In the early 1970s it was the Black Muslim movement which inspired the infamous 'Zebra Killers' in California. These were members of the weirdly named 'Fruit of Islam', the would-be paramilitary auxiliaries of the movement, who took it as their religious duty to go out and murder white men, women and children at random. It is believed that, behind the official and media censorship that was brought to bear to conceal the scale of the killings, some hundreds of white people may have fallen victim in this horrific offensive of racially motivated slaughter.

Little is thought to have changed since then regarding the ultimate intentions and plans of the movement, now headed by Farrakhan.

NOW THEY'RE WARNING US

No, the letter reproduced on the right is not an invention by* British Nationalist *or one of its readers; it actually did appear in the* Lancashire Evening Telegraph *on November 27th 1989. The letter reveals an arrogance of which the British public should take note. It is the same kind of arrogance as that shown in the picture below, showing Moslems in Bradford burning a copy of* The Satanic Verses. *THEY are laying down the law to US!

Beware mighty march of Islam

WHO does this G. Pilkington think he is?

We the Asian Community will caterwaul when we want and whenever we want, because our faith is strong and will overcome your faith which is weak.

Our mosques will grow from day to day from town to town from city to city.

Your church will crumble like dust before our almighty God and faith Islam. In Blackburn now we are 40,000 strong.

In your country, there are over 6,000,000 Asians, so who will call our faith today will cry for mercy tomorrow. We have begun to speak, now you will see our strength.

I. PIRBAI, Dartford Close
Blackburn

Now the word 'men' forbidden in BBC reports!

THE BEHAVIOUR of the left-wing mind-controllers of the BBC gets pottier and pottier but still the Government lets them get away with it.

The latest piece of insanity to emanate from Broadcasting House is a directive that the affix '-men' may no longer be used in news reports. In other words, to talk of 'firemen', 'spokesmen', 'businessmen' or 'policemen' is out of order because it is 'sexist'. In future reports must speak of 'fire people', 'spokespersons', etc.

Oh, and yes! Reference to 'ladies' or 'women' is out too. Reports may not speak of titles such as 'dinner lady', for that is 'sexist' too. Other terms will have to be found.

It looks though as if 'seamen' is going to cause problems, because the National Union of Seamen refuses to change its name, even though it has women members!

These rulings just add to the many that today make Britain the laughing stock of the world.

Broadcasting House (left). Left-wing loonies tighten their grip while Government sits and does nothing

YARD'S DRIVE FOR MORE BLACK POLICE FLOPS MISERABLY

SCOTLAND YARD'S drive to recruit more black police officers has been a total flop, according to a recent report.

By the time of the report, made in November last year, only 35 coloured officers had joined during that year — and 26 had quit.

This has been a big disappointment to Metropolitan Commissioner Sir Peter Imbert, who was desperately anxious to get more black recruits, and he has now ordered an enquiry as to what went wrong.

Perhaps it just is that Blacks don't want to be cops!

IMBERT
Bitterly disappointed at the failure of his black recruitment drive, he has ordered an enquiry into what happened

SALUTATIONS TO THE PEOPLE OF EASTERN EUROPE — NOW LET'S GET RID OF OUR OWN TYRANTS!

WE AT *BRITISH NATIONALIST* will be shedding no tears as the communist tyrants in Eastern Europe fall one-by-one and their bloody regimes are swept away. Of all publications in this country, large and small, we have as good record as any in our opposition to communism and all it stands for.

But where we differ with many others is in the fact that we do not believe that the countries of Eastern Europe are going to be any better off for the importation of western-style 'democracies' — not at least if the one we have in Britain is any model.

For the true fact is that 'democracy', in the way that it has developed in our country today, works against the best interests of the people living under it every bit as much as Soviet-style communism. What is more, it is probably much more successful for going about it. Its methods may be less crude and bloody, and largely for that reason they are much cleverer.

Western 'democracy', as practised in Britain for example, operates mainly by bribing the great mass of the populace with a few material comforts and lulling it with soft words. That is how in the first place this gigantic racket manages to get the people's acceptance.

RUMANIA'S CEAUSESCU — THE LAST PICTURE
A tyrant lies dead, and the world celebrates. But at least his tyranny was an open one, maintained by terror and seen by the world for what it was. In Britain, on the other hand, a more insidious tyranny operates, whereby the people are deluded into thinking they live in 'freedom' while the voice of real dissent is effectively silenced by much more subtle methods.

THE 'PEOPLE'S WILL'

We are always being told that 'democracy' means government by the people's will. This claim is then supported by pointing to the fact that, once every so often, we are given a 'choice' of voting for any one of a number of political parties competing for our favour at election times.

But look a little closer and what do we find?

When it comes to the crunch, every one of these parties turns out to be against what the majority of the people want. This is so over a whole range of vital issues.

Time and time again, a majority of the population has been shown to favour the return of the death penalty for murderers. Time and time again, members of all the parties in parliament have joined together to prevent this coming about.

Time and time again, the great mass of British people has been shown to be opposed to their country being turned into a multi-racial society. But time and time again the overwhelming majority of their 'democratic representatives' in all the leading parties has not only refused to listen but has taken active steps to stop this issue, involving the possible extinction of the British people, even being a matter of proper public debate.

In these cases and in many others, the various parties have simply closed ranks against the popular will in whose name they claim to speak.

FREE SPEECH — WHAT FREE SPEECH?

But surely, you may say, under our 'democracy' there is still freedom of speech. Dissidents are not actually carted away in the middle of the night or massacred in the streets for protesting, as they were in Ceausescu's Rumania. Maybe not — at least yet. But if so, this is only because for the time being the 'democrats' are able to employ much more subtle means of silencing their opponents.

To begin with, any dissident whose voice is going to be heard in public must have the facilities to get a hearing. This means that he must be able to exercise proper rights of freedom of assembly and — in days like these — freedom of the air-waves. He must be able to obtain places to hold public meetings and then to assemble in those places whatever people may wish to listen to him.

And the fact is that in Britain today this basic right, in as much as it affects real dissidents, no longer exists. Public meeting halls are denied to the British National Party and other patriotic organisations up and down the country, while every attempt to hold public meetings in the open air are effectively stopped by the Government's police under the pretext of 'public order'. If the meetings themselves are not banned — as happened in York last November — they are allowed to take place only under conditions in which the general public is effectively prevented from getting to them and attending them. The devices employed to achieve this have been explained in previous issues of *British Nationalist*.

As for the air-waves and other forms of mass media, such as the press, these are, in reality, tightly controlled and subject to close censorship so that certain people and certain opinions are effectively excluded from them. In fact, our own media are just as much committed to the propagation of a single point of view as have been those of the countries of Eastern Europe. In the latter case the point of view has been that of communism. In the case of this country and other 'democracies', the point of view is that of one-world 'liberalism'.



CITADEL OF FREEDOM — OR IS IT?
In Britain's parliament those in power show just the same contempt for the desires of the people as in any former East European dictatorship

Our own media may well maintain the pretence of a 'free debate' by allowing different factions of the one-world 'liberalist' cause to argue against each other on points of small detail. But should anyone wish to say something which falls outside this tightly controlled discussion, he is rigorously excluded, both from the broadcasting studios and from the columns of the press.

THE MAILED FIST INSIDE THE VELVET GLOVE

But these methods of silencing do not exhaust 'democracy's' bag of dirty tricks. The 'democrats' certainly have a

Contd. on page 7

Press Council brings in new censorship on race

THE CODE OF PRACTICE

The Code of Practice is divided into five headings.

RESPECT FOR PRIVACY: Intrusion into private lives should always have a public-interest justification.

OPPORTUNITY FOR REPLY: A fair opportunity for reply will be given when reasonably called for.

PROMPT CORRECTIONS: Mistakes will be corrected promptly and with appropriate prominence.

CONDUCT OF JOURNALISTS: Subject only to the existence of an over-riding public interest, information for publication will be obtained by straight-forward means. Similiarly, newspapers will not authorise payment to criminals or to their families and associates to enable them to profit from crime.

RACE, COLOUR: Irrelevant references to race, colour and religion will be avoided.

ROBERT MAXWELL, Publisher

RICHARD STOTT, Editor

Andreas Whittam Smith – *The Independent*
Ernest Burrington – *The People*
Peter Cole – *The Sunday Correspondent*
Patsy Chapman – *News of the World*
Sir David English – *Daily Mail*
Max Hastings – *Daily and Sunday Telegraph*
Peter Hunter – *Daily Star*
John Leese – *London Evening Standard*
Nick Lloyd – *Daily Express*
Kelvin Mackenzie – *The Sun*
David Montgomery – *Today*
Robin Morgan – *Sunday Express*
Andrew Neil – *The Sun*
Sir Geoffrey Owen – *Financial Times*
Eve Pollard – *Sunday Mirror*
Peter Preston – *The Guardian*
Stewart Steven – *Mail on Sunday*
Donald Trelford – *The Observer*
Charles Wilson – *Today*

REPRINTED HERE is the new five-point press 'Code of Practice', as published at the end of November in Mr. Robert Maxwell's *Daily Mirror*. This was agreed among themselves by the editors of all Britain's daily and Sunday newspapers, and voluntarily signed by them.

There seems little enough reason to quibble with the first four points of the Code. Many people have been thinking that it was high time the gentlemen of the press cleaned up their act in these respects. Thus far it all seems very innocent.

But then, all of a sudden, we come up against point number five, smuggled into the very last slot almost as if it were an afterthought. "Irrelevant references to race, colour and religion," we are told, "will be avoided."

The mind boggles.

Just what is an "irrelevant" reference to these things? Who exactly is to decide which references to them are "relevant" and which are not? Certainly we look in vain for enlightenment on these questions from the press Code itself. But fortunately there is a bit of past form to go on if we want answers.

Some two years ago, former *Sunday Telegraph* Editor Peregrine Worsthorne remarked:-

> "The greatest breach of all democratic principles perpetrated in this country this century was the series of covert decisions, all taken against the popular will, which resulted in this country becoming a multi-racial society...If anything, the liberal media allowed itself to become part of this conspiracy, playing down the facts so as not to arouse too much public opposition."

BLUEPRINT FOR CENSORSHIP
***The infamous new Code, as reported in the* Daily Mirror**

Tory press sell-out

IT IS not just the admittedly 'liberal' and left-wing press that is now in the plot to keep the truth of Britain's growing race crisis from the British people.

Up to a short while ago, most of Britain's Tory papers, from the *Sun* to the *Daily Telegraph*, at least occasionally went through the motions of exposing some of the facts. A while back, after a Press Council verdict against its stablemate, the *Daily Express*, over a truthful report which it printed of a crime committed by Blacks, the *Sunday Express* published a furious rejoinder. This appeared as a leading article headed 'Menace'. It ran as follows:-

> **"In open court, a gang of black Brixton teenagers is jailed for raping two white girls.**
> **"Should the public be allowed to know these basic facts?**
> **"According to the Press Council it should not.**
> **"In a finding last week, it has condemned both the *Daily Express* and the *Daily Telegraph* for revealing that the rapists were black.**
> **"This is more than just some idiotic piece of pandering to the race relations industry by a group of smug do-gooders. It is a patronising insult to the British people, who are being told, in effect, that they are not fit to be entrusted with facts that emerge from a public trial.**
> **"This is a crude attempt at outright censorship, that hallmark of those countries whose people are governed by dictatorship and repression.**
> **"Far from upholding the freedom of speech which it professes to embrace, the Press Council has shown in a long series of judgements that it seeks increasingly to restrict that vital freedom.**
> **"Isn't it high time that it was wound up?"**

Fighting talk! But, as it has now turned out, nothing but talk.

All this was back in May 1987. Since then, the *Express* seems to have undergone a sea change in its attitudes.

Large as life among the signatories of the new Press Council-inspired 'Code of Practice' figure the names of none other than the current editors of both the *Sunday* and *Daily Express*, Messrs. Robin Morgan and Nick Lloyd. So far as is known, both signed on the dotted line without raising any objection to the clause banning references in their pages to the facts of multi-racial Britain.

So it seems that today the *Express*, which was so hot and strong the other way only yesterday, is no longer so keen to protect the honour and liberty of Britons from outrage at the hands of Britain's race relations industry and its allies of the Press Council. On the contrary, once the latter crack their whips over them, the paper's spokesmen are themselves suddenly only too willing to come to heel as the tame poodles of the very same would-be totalitarian lie-machine they were, until recently, denouncing for all they were worth.

In this, the editors of the *Express* papers have turned out to be no different from those of all Britain's Tory newspapers, who likewise put their names to the code. This is par for the course for Tories of all kinds, who have been selling the pass in this way for years.

The time was when multi-racialism was a way-out fad of the lunatic left. Now it has become an unquestionable dogma of all Britain's ruling establishment, a state of affairs that has come about under the rule of Tories every bit as much as under their Labour opponents. The Tory press has never stood firm against this.

Britons who want even so much as a voice in their own land will never find it there, as is shown by this latest sell-out.

As if to bear out Mr. Worsthorne's words, honest journalist Richard Heller, writing in *The mail on Sunday*, commented last May on the type of reporting that followed the savage riot of that period in Wolverhampton's Heath Town district. So coy was the press about giving the facts concerning the race of the rioters, who in fact were mainly black, that in Mr. Heller's words, "People who saw what happened, including the police reinforcements with riot shields, must have been bewildered by this kind of reporting."

He added: "It did no service to the needs of an open society."

In his article, headed 'After the riots, a call for honesty about racial tensions — the menace of a colour-blind TV and press', Mr. Heller identified the hand — or one of the hands — behind this. "The evasive reporting of Wolverhampton," he said, "owed much to

Contd. on page 7

Out of Africa: the AIDS menace and how to fight it

THERE SEEMS now to be little doubt about the major source of what may turn out to be one of the Third World's deadliest exports yet to Britain and the West. Specialists in sexually transmitted diseases at London's St. Mary's Hospital have found that among those of its African patients who agreed to be tested for AIDS no less than ONE IN FOUR was positive.

This closely reflects the statistics for infection back in the Dark Continent itself. Amongst the people of Britain and the West, the main culprits in spreading the lethal plague so far have been homosexuals, and drug-addicts sharing the needles wih which they inject themselves in such a way as to spread it from one individual to another. AIDS has not yet moved far beyond these two groups of low-life degenerates into the population at large.

But, as now seems clear, one factor could change all this, namely the continuation of contact in its present form between Africa and the West. For in Africa the AIDS epidemic is running right out of control.

Since the European scuttle from empire, there has been a widespread collapse of both order and prosperity right across the continent, as the native African has been left to his own devices. There can be little doubt that this has set the scene for the AIDS explosion. Whilst this state of affairs lasts, it seems just as obvious that nothing can be done to stop it.

What is more, in Africa the AIDS virus knows no boundaries of sex or age. In the seething anarchy of present-day Uganda, once the jewel in the crown of British East Africa, the figure for infection among adults of both sexes is reckoned to be the same as has been found among Africans in Britain — one in four. In addition, at least one in five infants is found to be HIV positive at birth, having contracted the disease from its mother.

Similar figures have been found for neighbouring Zaire — the former Belgian Congo. At the instigation of the United Nations Organisation some 30 years ago, the European planters and other colonists who had carved the original prosperous and orderly colony from a savage jungle were expelled from the country they had built.

This followed large-scale rape, torture and murder at the hands of mutinous natives armed from abroad. Amid the welter of lawlessness and economic collapse that has prevailed ever since, the AIDS virus has now found a natural hunting ground among many of those same natives.

Nor is the picture greatly different anywhere else in 'independent' Africa.

In the Central African Republic, which was until not long ago the domain of practising cannibal tyrant, self-styled 'Emperor' Bokassa, overthrown in a coup a few years back, AIDS is rampant in much the same way. The most striking statistic is that twice as many females as males are infected.

In West Africa, the same is true of Gabon and Equatorial Guinea.

TERRIFYING FIGURES

According to such estimates as exist, some two and a half million Africans are now AIDS victims. But these figures are regarded as, if anything, an understatement. The World Health Organisation believes that the true scale of the epidemic could be much greater.

It is not known for sure whether the different patterns and levels of infection as between Africans and Europeans is due to the former being naturally more vulnerable to the disease or whether it is due to differing sexual behaviour as between the races. Either or both causes could be present.

All that can be said is that in the United States and South Africa AIDS affects the whole negro population, much as is the case throughout the African Continent. Amongst Whites in both countries it is homosexuals who still represent the virus's main transmission belt, and the disease is still mainly confined to them.

A.I.D.S. SWEEPING AFRICA
A man in Nairobi reads a leaflet on the disease distributed by the Kenyan Red Cross

Whatever the explanation may be, lack of sexual restraint in Africa seems to have played a big role in spreading this plague far and wide.

In Nairobi, the capital of Kenya, 85 per-cent of the prostitutes are said to be infected. In neighbouring Tanzania the figure is 77 per-cent, and in Malawi 62 per-cent.

But this has not made it any the less of an uphill struggle to persuade African males to change their life-styles. Too many, especially those with money and status, have tended to look upon unlimited sex as some kind of birthright. Efforts to urge upon them the dangers of this by means of official handouts and the like have made little progress.

This has led to the selective culling in particular of those elements with some smattering of western-style education. Only three years ago, the son of none other than Kenneth Kaunda, tin-pot dictator of Zambia, bit the dust from AIDS.

One Kenyan politician recently expressed the fear that, as a result of all this, Africa could be deprived of those individuals among its own peoples, few and far between as they already are, who might have the educational wherewithal to keep some semblance of an economy in being. As it is, most of the continent's banana republics lean heavily for survival upon western technological and financial aid.

THE THREAT TO US

But whatever the threat to Africa itself, one other thing is also obvious, namely the threat now posed to Britain and the West.

French AIDS expert Professor Luc Montagnier recently spoke of the dangers to Europe arising from carrying on trade and tourism in the African Continent. Indeed, it has recently been suggested that all British expatriates in Africa should be compulsorily tested for the disease on their return to this country.

Professor Montagnier was less explicit about the dangers from African immigration into Europe. But these are certainly not less than the dangers from the sources he named.

To compound the matter, Britain's rulers once again showed their colours just recently when the commonsense suggestion was made that, in the interests of protecting the health and safety of the British people, Africans should be required to submit to tests for AIDS before being admitted to this country. The Government, needless to say, backed down when the pro-immigration lobby stridently agitated against any such proposal on the grounds that making Africans do this would be 'racist'!

The truth is that it is hard to see how the AIDS menace, in common with so much else that jeopardises Britain's future, can be properly warded off whilst this type of mentality prevails. If the problem is finally to be solved, it seems clear that Britain's status as a receptacle for the Third World's population surplus will in fact have to be terminated altogether. Not only that, but the case for Britain and other civilised western nations to resume some direct control over Africa may seriously have to be put back on the international agenda.

JOHN MORSE

AIDS warning campaign stinks of deceit

GOVERNMENT ADVERTS TAILORED TO AVOID DISPLEASING QUEER LOBBY

THE THATCHER GOVERNMENT has come under attack from many quarters for the grossly deceitful way in which its has promoted its campaign to warn the public of the dangers of AIDS.

In a series of advertisements appearing in the newspapers and on television and elsewhere, propagandists hired by the Department of Health have conveyed the wholly misleading impression that the disease is not one especially connected with homosexuals but liable to menace society generally.

The row broke out after a government representative was forced to admit that only one heterosexual man in the whole of Britain was known to have caught AIDS as a result of normal sex.

Lord Kilbracken, a member of the parliamentary group concerned with AIDS issues, said it was now clear that the risk of heterosexuals catching the disease was "statistically invisible."

Among 2,372 full-blown cases of AIDS up to the end of June last year only one person was known to have been infected from outside the accepted 'high risk' groups.

Of the victims, 1,927 were homosexuals or bisexuals, 94 injecting drug cases and 190 who had received contaminated drug products. Another 59 cases were either unclassified or involved children of AIDS victims. Only 102 had contacted AIDS through heterosexual contact. Of these, 18 had high-risk partners and 76 had known exposure to the virus abroad in high-risk areas such as Africa.

Of the other eight cases, two women had had multiple sexual contacts with men from abroad and were probably prostitutes while five of the six men had reported contact with prostitutes.

MISREPRESENTATION

Lord Kilbracken said, in response to the release of these figures: "I don't understand why the Government and the medical profession are doing so much to misrepresent the AIDS situation. It is ridiculous to state, as an earlier poster campaign did, that 'AIDS is not prejudiced' in an effort to imply that we are all at risk of catching the disease."

He was backed up by Dr. John Seale, a London specialist in genito-urinary medicine, who said: "These campaigns are misconceived and misdirected. There is no evidence that AIDS is spreading into the heterosexual population."

Ann Leslie, writing in the Daily Mail on November 18th, asked: "Why have we, the taxpayers, been forced to waste money (over £30 million) on this costly and farcical campaign? First of all, because our Government, like many others, fell for militant gay propaganda."

PART OF THE LIE CAMPAIGN
This picture of a normal couple was one of the many used by the Government to deceive the people about AIDS. An illustration of two prancing pansies would have been more appropriate

That is nearly, but not quite, correct. Undoubtedly the Government was heavily influenced by the opinions of Britain's homosexual community but not, as Miss Leslie seems to imply, in a state of blind ignorance of the truth. What is most certainly the case is that the Government was terrified to antagonise Britain's gays and their many fellow-travelling supporters of the 'liberal establishment' of press and TV. The queer fraternity has been allowed to become, in Britain today, a pressure group of considerable power and influence, able to make things nasty for those who offend them. The Government, rather than run this risk, was quite prepared to lie to the general British public and to spend vast sums of the nation's money in the process.

Fortunately, the lies have been exposed by factual evidence given by the medical profession, a body consisting mainly of honest public servants rather than propagandists. The affair nevertheless leaves a foul stink in the nostrils, and brings upon the Government and its lackeys the rightful contempt of the British people.

PRINCESS DI SHOWS SHE'S 'WITH IT'

POLITICIANS are not the only species in the habit of pandering to the queer fraternity in Britain. The tendency runs right through the 'establishment' of the high and the mighty.

The picture below shows Princess Diana on a visit to an AIDS ward at St. Mary's Hospital in Paddington, West London. According to a report in *The Sun* (December 2nd) the Princess made a little joke when she posed hand-on-hip in 'gay' style before the patients, saying: "I have a habit of standing like this. I wish I could get rid of it."

One homo in the ward was quoted as saying of the Princess: "She's like a patron saint to us gays. She has done more to break down the barriers than anyone else."

Well, *British Nationalist* is not so enthusiastic. We think that Diana is in fact setting an appalling example by appearing to give the blessing of royalty to a disgusting cult which should be quarantined and condemned by all decent people in Britain — and particularly people in such positions of importance as our future Queen. Such folk of national eminence should not be 'breaking down' the barriers between queers and normal people, they should be giving a lead in maintaining them!



FRATERNISING WITH THE POOFTERS
The Princess of Wales hob-nobs with two AIDS victims at a clinic

Queers in the Church: is there a cover-up?

BISHOPS have been forbidden by the Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Robert Runcie, to make public a report by the Anglican Church calling for the acceptance of gay clergymen. The Archbishop seems worried that if the report is exposed it will bring his Church into even further disrepute. Senior clergy now believe that it will not be made public until after Runcie's retirement in 1991.



RUNCIE
Protecting queer clergy?

This, if true, is typical of the Archbishop. After spending his years as Primate presiding over a moral rot in the Church of England, he now wants to evade responsibility for the harm he has done — at least while he is still in office!

With such a pathetic specimen as 'leader' of our established Church, is it any wonder that Britain has been sinking into moral anarchy and decadence?

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — So now the people of Russia, Poland, East Germany, Czechoslovakia, Rumania, etc. are to be showered with the blessings of 'democracy'. Big deal for them!

Just what will it all mean? Instead of one group of liars in power all the time, they are going to get several groups of liars competing for their votes at election time every few years. Instead of a mass media controlled by the Communist Party, they will have a mass media controlled by a small clique of plutocrats, who are likely to treat their opinions with equal contempt.

In addition, they will no doubt be getting all the great cultural benefits of 'Reggae' music, Unisex clothes and McDonalds' burger bars. For these poor folk, it looks like being a case of "out of the frying pan and into the fire."

J. NELSON
Plymouth

Sir, I was utterly disgusted to read of the treatment given to supporters of the British National Party by the police at York on Remembrance Day. This just goes to underline the enormity of the humbug of Mrs. Thatcher and her Government when they proclaim themselves as champions of 'freedom'. It is noteworthy also that the left-wing mobsters were allowed to go into the city while the patriots were kept out — and all this in a country that is supposed to be under a 'Conservative' Government!

Well and truly would our war dead turn in their graves if they were to see this injustice.

D. PRESTON (Mrs.)
London W.5

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP
Telephone: 01 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

B.N.P MERCHANDISE



STICKERS
Six more popular stickers from the BNP. These red-on-white self-adhesive stickers can be yours for just £1.00 a roll, plus 28p p&p

BOOKS



Churchill's War, **by David Irving. Savage exposure by the world's most popular revisionist historian of Winston Churchill. Working as usual from primary source material, Irving reveals a man far removed from popular mythology. As Irving demonstrates, Churchill played a major role in the destruction of the very British Empire he claimed to be fighting to save. 591 pages. Hardback. £16.95 plus £2.00 p&p.**



Biology of the Race Problem, **by Wesley C. George. Well documented but easily understood presentation of the facts of heredity in race. Explains how the Caucasian (White) is 200,000 years ahead of the Negro in evolutionary development and why race-mixing should be avoided. 72 pages. £1.00 plus 28p p&p.**

RECORDINGS

B.N.P. RECORDINGS

Rally '87: Video-recording of BNP London rally in October 1987. Speeches by John Tyndall, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, David Bruce and Stanley Clayton-Garnett.

Rally '82: Sound recording of the BNP London rally in October 1982.

Tyndall Speaks I: Sound-recorded talks on racial heritage and economic crisis.

Tyndall Speaks II: Sound-recorded talks on nationalism and World War II.

Tyndall Speaks III: Sound-recorded talks on repatriation and the national community.

Tyndall Speaks IV: Sound-recorded talks on unemployment and the racial time-bomb.

Video: £15 plus 71p p&p.
Sound: £3.50 plus 28p p&p.







B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW HOMOSEXUALITY!

Protect our women and old folk STAMP OUT MUGGERS!

Fight subversion SMASH COMMUNISM!

LOVE THE WHITE RACE Protect its future!



Save our cities from this (riot)... START REPATRIATION!



As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say... BAN IMPORTS!

Protect our young from child murderers... BRING BACK THE ROPE!

Stickers measure 3½in x 2½in. Self-adhesive at £1.50 per 100; gummed back at 40p per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.

Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER Make it illegal!


Free speech is under attack —
DEFEND IT!
The Government is trying to suppress criticism of its open-door immigration policy by prosecuting those who speak out. Help us fight this tyranny! Give us your support!
BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
BNP

Free speech is under attack — DEFEND IT! Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists



Support Ulster Smash terrorism HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!

Oppose the disarmers Build up our forces MAKE BRITAIN STRONG

CND are Moscow's puppets Don't be fooled by them KEEP BRITAIN'S BOMB!

She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!

T-SHIRTS & POSTERS

Get this exciting new black-on-white design T-shirt or A2-size poster. T-shirts only £5 plus 28p p&p. Posters £1.50 inc. p&p. Send cheques or POs to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. If ordering T-shirt, remember to state shirt size.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the main units:-

NORTH WEST LONDON
BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

EAST LONDON
PO Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ

SOUTH LONDON
PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW

WEST KENT
PO Box 48, Tonbridge TN11 9JF

EAST KENT
73 Shirkoak Park, Ashford TN26 3QP

EPPING FOREST
PO Box 12, Loughton, Essex IG10 3BU

SUFFOLK
2 Albert Road, Framlingham

NORFOLK
9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

SOUTH WALES
PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB

NORTH WALES
PO Box 3, Llangefni, Anglesey L77 7UY

BIRMINGHAM
PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

LEICESTER
PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

PETERBOROUGH
PO Box 211, Peterborough, Cambs. PE3 8JE

LIVERPOOL
PO Box 116, Liverpool L69 1SH

MANCHESTER & SALFORD
PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

LEEDS & BRADFORD
PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

HUDDERSFIELD
PO Box A43, Huddersfield HD1 4NN

WAKEFIELD
PO Box 101, Wakefield WF2 9XY

SHEFFIELD
PO Box 44, Sheffield S1 1ES

DARLINGTON
PO Box 61, Darlington, Co. Durham DL3 9RD

TYNE & WEAR
PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

GLASGOW
PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

DUNDEE
PO Box 161, Dundee DD1 9YR

The BNP also has units in Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Milton Keynes, Stourbridge (West Midlands), Nottingham, North Nottinghamshire, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Blackpool, Hull, Halifax, Dewsbury, Batley, York, Tees-side, Sunderland, Edinburgh, Aberdeen and Inverness. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to BNP Head Office at PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

1990s: TIME OF DESTINY

WHEN SPEAKING to the BNP London rally last October, I focused attention on the fact that we were shortly due to enter the final decade of the present century, and I stressed the obvious truth that what we in the nationalist movement do in this final decade will determine whether Britain has a future in the new century to follow.

We are now in this last decade. We have entered the 1990s, and it is not an exaggeration to say that this is a time of destiny for us — a make-or-break ten years, during which we have the opportunity to break through from the outer fringe of British politics to the position of being a major force in the nation. We must achieve this **soon**, for if we do not the new century will dawn bringing with it bleaker prospects for this country than any other in her long history.

More than for some considerable time, I believe that there are now some grounds for optimism.

In the 1970s the nationalist movement in this country was making great progress. In fact our success was admired and envied throughout the world. It was much greater, for instance, than anything then being achieved in Germany or France.

But at the end of the seventies we were stopped dead in our tracks. I am convinced that one thing stopped us more than anything else. This was the emergence of Mrs. Thatcher.

Margaret Thatcher was a special media-created decoy, set up to delude and mislead those many electors in Britain with patriotic instincts. With Mrs. Thatcher seeming to be about to do many of things we were pledged to do, the *raison d'etre* for a new and independent patriotic political force, outside the established parties, appeared to many to have receded.

All this was of course a total illusion. But we could not make the masses see that. We just didn't have the power of the media to get the message across. Only time and events would make the people see it. And it has taken a while for this process to take effect.

But now, as we enter the 1990s, there are real signs that the honeymoon with Mrs. Thatcher is over. More and more millions are starting to see that her claim to have brought about a national recovery in Britain has been wholly bogus. In fact, after ten years of Thatcher Government, Britain is in even a bigger mess than when that Government took over.

In the meantime, the 1980s, just ended, has been for us largely a time for holding on.

Holding on to the small nucleus of a national movement that we have created. Holding on to our nerve and our resolve. Holding on to our faith that, given time, we would rise again to become a movement able to win mass support.

And we **have** held on! The 1980s, while not being a decade of spectacular growth for us, has not been one of extinction either. Unlike some others on the political scene, we have not folded up and gone into retirement as a result of the going being slow and tough. We have kept the nucleus of an organisation in being, and bit-by-bit we have strengthened it.

The last year of the eighties, 1989, was probably the best year that our party, the British National Party, has ever had. In that year we smashed our way, several times, into national headlines. We are now no longer unknown.

We also, quite clearly, have increased our support. More people attended our activities than ever before. More literature of ours has gone out than ever before. And we are certainly better known than ever before.

One thing that we have not yet done, at least to my own satisfaction, is turned this enlarged support into substantially enlarged membership. A great many of the new people who have attached themselves to us over the past year have not yet formally enrolled as members. It is up to all local leaders to make good this shortcoming in the next few months. Maybe the £12 membership fee results in a certain amount of procrastination. But, whatever it is, it must be put right.

Finally, in 1990 we must make **double** the effort to get our message out. The British public, I believe, is now much more receptive to that message than for many years past.

In 1990 we can take the first steps along the road to mass support. But it means we must all give more and work harder. The time is **now**. It is up to **us!**

A message for the new decade from

JOHN TYNDALL

SALUTATIONS TO THE PEOPLE OF EASTERN EUROPE — NOW LET'S GET RID OF OUR OWN TYRANTS!

(Contd. from page 4)

mailed fist inside their velvet glove. One is the set of 'race laws' used to suppress the free speech of the British people on the crucial subject of race and immigration. We of *British Nationalist* know something about these race laws for we have suffered imprisonment as a result of them.

In addition, there is the vicious street mob, which collaborates closely with the left-wing local councils of many of our towns and cities to stifle dissent by patriotic Britons. If the latter seek to hold a meeting or demonstration to make their view felt, these mobsters only have to threaten violent counter-demonstrations against them and the pretext of 'public order' can be invoked to get them banned.

So, Eastern Europe (or most of it) may well now be free of communism, but Britain and the West are not yet free of that much more underhand racket miscalled 'democracy'. In fact, the decent citizen in places like Rumania, Czechoslovakia and East Germany is probably now more truly free than is his counterpart here.

We are told that the changes in Eastern Europe have been brought about by 'people's power'. Well, perhaps it is time for some form of 'people's power' to be generated over here, so as to throw off our own brand of tyranny and oppression.

So while this month we salute the people of 'Free Rumania' let us get down to the task of fighting for and achieving **Free Britain**!

PRESS COUNCIL BRINGS IN NEW CENSORSHIP ON RACE

(Contd. from page 4)

misguided attempts by the Press Council and others (not named) to suppress supposedly irrelevant references to race, expecially in contexts thought likely to create or confirm prejudice."

"Supposedly irrelevant references to race"...! Comparing the eerie similarity of this turn of phrase with that of the new editors' press Code, isn't this where we came in?

What the matter all seems to boil down to is that in the eyes of the Press Council and its Chairman, Mr. Louis Blom-Cooper, his like-minded predecessor Sir Zelman Cowan and their ilk, we ordinary British yokels are not to be trusted with the truth in such matters. It might reinforce our "prejudice."

We do not know whether by this word they in fact mean the well-founded belief of many British people, based on the evidence of their own eyes and ears, that the great multi-racial experiment foisted on them by their rulers is, and always has been, a non-starter, and ought to be terminated at the soonest possible moment.

Whatever the case may be, Britain's newspaper editors are now willingly lending their sevices to the purpose of keeping the true facts of the society they live in from the people. Another word for this is censorship.

This is a remarkable reversal of the role our newspapermen have always claimed for themselves. Until now, the "public's right to know" has been right at the centre of their creed. It has been one of their articles of faith that no facts about anything that happens can ever be irrelevant. Even at this moment they are loudly singing the praises of the new 'freedom' and 'openness' enjoyed by Russians, Poles and Czechs, but it seems that henceforth they favour the opposite for Britons.

The truth is that by subscribing to the new doctrine that they should lend themselves to a process of building up a picture in the public mind which, by omitting vital facts, amounts to a lie about the state of the society we live in, these gentlemen are well on the way to setting themselves up for well-earned popular contempt — as the slavish lickspittles of the powers-that-be, who mean to inflict multi-racialism on Britain by all and any means.

They may find they would have been wiser to heed the words of their colleague Richard Heller: "It is desperately important for black people to be reported as black people, even in unfavourable contexts...It is even more important for society as a whole to possess every bit of information it can about every single piece of news that affects its future."

HONG KONG CHINESE: NO ROOM HERE!

(Contd. from front page)

more than £1,300 million a year in aid to Third World countries.

Is it unreasonable to propose that we should make some conditions as to the continuation of this aid, and that the first condition should be that the countries receiving it should agree to accommodate Britain's surplus population of Afro-Caribbeans, Asians and others?

We do not think it unreasonable. On the contrary, we think it the only reasonable policy if this country is to survive into the 21st century and have a future worth bequeathing to the generations to come.

So we say, firmly and without equivocation: **No room here for the Hong Kong Chinese — and no room here for the rest of the Third World's population either!**

BNP focus on the regions: (4)

EAST ANGLIA

EAST ANGLIA region of the BNP covers a large slice of the country — mainly rural, and with few big towns. Although party support in the region is scattered, groups are active in Colchester, Ipswich, Norwich and Peterborough.

While not the strongest part of the country for the movement, East Anglia BNP has carried the nationalist message right across the eastern counties by systematically leafletting nearly every town in the region. Harwich, Manningtree, Wivenoe, Lowestoft, Stowmarket, Bury St. Edmunds, Newmarket, Woodbridge, Felixstowe, Thetford, Diss, Great Yarmouth, Dereham, Swaffham, Kings Lynn, Ely, Wisbech and Cambridge have all been blitzed. Thanks here are mainly due to Joe Gould, Mick Crisp, Jock Timms, Richard Heaton, Andrew Smith and Martin Toole. Additional help has come from London and Leicester activists led by Richard Edmonds and John Peacock, to whom thanks are also due.

This huge amount of political work undertaken — reaching the people through mass leafletting — has clearly laid the basis for the party's firm expansion in the future.

While Regional Organiser Malvern Tipping has co-ordinated activities, it has been Norwich Group Organiser Richard Heaton who has set an example for action, so that Norwich Group has produced a whole range of stickers as well as other merchandise, such as BNP beer mugs, coffee mugs, mats, notepads, pens and pencils — a striking initiative which other regions could well follow.

Richard Heaton also stood in the only election contested in the region in the last year, following on the effort of the year before, when he had previously stood. This was in Norwich, where the BNP had held a well-publicised election rally. The usual assortment of unwashed reds and queers failed to stop the meeting and many were arrested. An attempt was made to prove that BNP members had used excessive force to eject the red trash from the rally, but this also failed — the whole affair giving the BNP massive publicity.

Another and more recent event generating huge publicity was the bloody riot in Peterborough, when 600 Asians rampaged through the town after a routine BNP leafletting operation! Despite the fact that the story was suppressed by the national news media — censored to stop ordinary white folk knowing what is happening in their country — locally in East Anglia banner headlines screamed '600 Asians run riot!'.

BNP growth in the region continues, with a new group recently formed in Peterborough under Tom Mills — this being thanks in no small part to the local anger caused by the Asians rioting. It is expected that new groups will soon be formed in Kings Lynn and Basildon, while other promising areas for the party, with groups likely to be formed a little later, are Bury St. Edmunds, Great Yarmouth, Newmarket and Woodbridge.

The future of the British National Party in the region seems assured, for despite the relatively small number of active nationalists regionwide clever tactics, a broad regional strategy and sheer hard work by committed activists will ensure that the BNP becomes firmly entrenched in the rich soil of East Anglia.

TONY WELLS

THE B.N.P. IN EAST ANGLIA
Places in capitals indicate where there are party units. Others where activities have been held in the last year

NEW MILTON KEYNES GROUP HITS HEADLINES

THE new British National Party unit in Milton Keynes has got off to a real bang in the way of local publicity, thanks largely to the initiative of the leader of the group, Richard Fawcus.

Mr. Fawcus, although only 25, has been an active nationalist for 12 years! Over the past few months he has gathered around him a team of young but very dedicated activists.

Big publicity was achieved by a mail-out of 500 copies of *British Nationalist* to local councillors, clergymen and priests, magistrates, doctors, solicitors and schoolteachers. The result of this operation was that the group got prominent mentions on local radio and in the *Milton Keynes Citizen* newspaper.

Your Channel, which is a cable TV service, broadcast to 35,000 homes in the Milton Keynes area news of the party's campaign. This lasted for two days, and had the headline 'Fascists launch Milton Keynes recruiting drive'.

Another great idea, not previously tried by the party as far as we know, won big publicity. This was a Christmas message, which was broadcast on the cable TV network. It went out ten times a day, seven days in the week, and consisted of a greeting wishing BNP members and supporters a happy Christmas. This only cost £4.60 a week. Other BNP units, take note!

This so angered the *Citizen* newspaper that it ran a front-page 'Shock-horror' story claiming that *Your Channel* offices were bombarded with protests from angry viewers complaining about the message. Richard Fawcus was in fact able to check the truth of this with a *Your Channel* employee known to him, and ascertained that the protesters amounted to only three!

Milton Keynes BNP is also very active in local secondary schools. The response so far has been very good, and so the group has just announced a mass-recruitment drive in the schools which should send many local left-wingers and clergymen scurrying for their blood-pressure tablets.

Four local members, Richard Fawcus, Dave Slaymaker, Simon Cooper and Jerry Lindley, will be running a sponsored half-marathon road race in April, with details announced nearer the date. The group is also arranging to have a local post office box number.

Richard Fawcus has asked us to thank, through these columns, two activists who have given help "above and beyond the normal call of duty." These are Jason Parsons and Simon Cooper.

British Nationalist takes this opportunity to congratulate Richard Fawcus and his supporters for their efforts in giving a spectacular send-off to Milton Keynes BNP!

Milton Keynes Group of the British National Party wishes it's many members and supporters a Merry Christmas and successful New Year.
BNP
Fighting for our nation

THE 'OFFENSIVE' MESSAGE
This is the Yuletide greeting sent out by Milton Keynes BNP over cable television. Readers will note that it attacks no-one. It seems that the BNP is 'offensive' to its opponents merely by existing!

PARTY MESSAGE WAS 'OFFENSIVE'

Festive fury group go on

COMMUNITY TV bosses are staying t
over a controversial decision to broadc
mas greetings from the ultra right wi
National Party.

Racist party to launch membership drive?

FAR RIGHT AIMS TO RECRUIT IN CITY

By STEVE LARNER

● Alarm — Cllr Howell.

THE ULTRA-RIGHT wing British National Party is looking to Milton Keynes to recruit new members.

But a promised mail-shot of civic leaders with its race-hate paper has so far failed to materialise.

According to the BNP's spokesman Richard Edmonds, delivery of 500 copies of the British Nationalist paper has been organised with "a systematic mass-leafletting" across the city.

But so far, apart from one copy sent to the Citizen, local councillors have been spared contact with it.

LOCAL IMPACT
These two reports appeared recently in the* Milton Keynes Citizen, *a local paper. One refers to a Yuletide message put out by the BNP over local cable TV. The other speaks for itself. The councillor pictured with the report is a local Tory, trying to get a bit of self-advertisement opposing the BNP

NEW UNITS: MAKE NOTE

We draw readers' attention to three new post office box numbers now listed among local addresses of British National Party units. The new units in question are: **Peterborough**, **North Wales** and **Dundee**. Activity in these areas has now reached a level that has made it possible for party groups to be established.

In addition to these, we have drawn attention to the new **Milton Keynes** group elsewhere on this page. As stated, the group will soon have its own local PO box number. Also a new group has recently been set up in **Hillingdon**, West London, and the local address for this group will be made known soon. We are awaiting completion of the arrangements for a local box number in **Edinburgh**. Watch this space!

Video of October rally now out!

B.N.P. RECORDINGS is pleased to announce the availability of a new video, which will be on sale this month and will be an ideal new year's present for your relatives and friends. The video features the hugely successful British National Party rally in London on October 14th last, and it carries the title *Rally '89*. The video is of a quality considerably superior to that of *Rally '87*, being made with better equipment and technique. It includes excerpts from all the speeches made at the rally, namely those by John Peacock, Tony Morgan, Gus McLeod, David Bruce, Harry Mullin, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

***Rally '89* costs £15 plus 71p post and packing. Orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.**

NEW BUILDING FUND: £1,900.43 NEEDED!

Contributions to the BNP New Building Fund over the last month have totalled £381.57. This is a really good effort and is due in particular to one very generous donor. We now need £1,900.43 to meet our target. Let's do it soon!



Please send all contributions to New Building Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously!

You are invited to join a housing co-operative. Need help? Want to help? Write to: Housing, BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £10.50. 84p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY *BRITISH NATIONALIST* IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 70p post
25 copies £5.50 + £2.30 post
50 copies £10.00 + £2.85 post
100 copies £17.50 + £3.30 post
150 copies £25.00 + £3.80 post
200 copies £30.00 + £4.20 post
300 copies £42.00 + £5.50 post
400 copies £55.00 + £5.50 post
500 copies £65.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

KEVIN SCOTT WRITES

Dear Friends,

Most of your readers are probably aware of the campaign conducted against me at Newcastle University.

Almost from the very first day, I have been subjected to a spiteful campaign of attempted intimidation, organised by a small group of leftists in the University. Their ultimate aim is simply to have me expelled from the University — just because of my political views and my membership of the BNP.

In the first weeks of December, the reds intensified their efforts, distributing leaflets and putting up stickers and posters around the campus. However, an 'anti-fascist' meeting in the University, the culmination of all their efforts, was a total flop, with only a paltry eight students bothering to turn up. And one of these was a friend of mine, observing on my behalf.

One of the reds' leaflets declared, prior to the meeting: "Fascism rises when the opposition is weak. Stand up and be counted!" It didn't take long to count them, my friend commented.

Yours sincerely
KEVIN SCOTT



SAMPLE OF THE REDS' WORK
This tatty little leaflet was put out by left-wing students at Newcastle University as part of a campaign against BNP member Kevin Scott

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.15 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

.....

What we stand for

- An end to party warfare in Britain and the establishment of a government of national unity, with a parliament elected by occupational franchise
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Termination of the multi-racial Commonwealth but preservation of the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain; the creation of full employment by the building up of domestic industries to produce goods to replace those now imported
- A sane financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- Massive regeneration of British farming, so as to enable the nation to achieve much greater self-sufficiency in food production
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation of ethnic minorities
- A massive switch of overseas aid to helping the needy at home
- The strengthening of Britain's defences, including restoration of national service
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- A great national effort to restore traditional moral values, aimed at strengthening the family and recreating the fabric for a sound and healthy social order

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box):-

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

.....